Accelerating loss of seagrasses across the globe threatens coastal ecosystems
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Coastal ecosystems and the services they provide are adversely affected by a wide variety of human activities. In particular, seagrass meadows are negatively affected by impacts accruing from the billion or more people who live within 50 km of them. Seagrass meadows are an important ecosystem services, including an estimated $1.9 trillion per year in the form of nutrient cycling; an order of magnitude enhancement of coral reef fish productivity; a habitat for thousands of fish, bird, and invertebrate species; and a major food source for endangered dugong, manatee, and green turtle. Although individual impacts from coastal development, degraded water quality, and climate change have been documented, there has been no quantitative global assessment of seagrass loss until now. Our comprehensive global assessment of 215 studies found that seagrasses have been disappearing at a rate of 110 km² yr⁻¹ since 1879 and that 29% of the known areal extent has disappeared since seagrass areas were initially recorded in 1879. Furthermore, rates of decline have accelerated from a median of 0.9% yr⁻¹ before 1940 to 7% yr⁻¹ since 1990. Seagrass loss rates are comparable to those reported for mangroves, coral reefs, and tropical rainforests and place seagrass meadows among the most threatened ecosystems on earth.
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Coastal ecosystems such as salt marshes, coral reefs, mangroves, and seagrasses have declined, leading to growing concern because they have recognized ecological and economic values (1–5). Seagrasses, marine flowering plants that include the widely distributed genera Zostera, Thalassia, and Posidonia, form some of the most productive ecosystems on earth, rivaling even crops of corn and sugar cane (6). Further, seagrass meadows provide high-value ecosystem services such as supporting commercial fisheries worth as much as $3500 ha⁻¹ yr⁻¹ (7), subsistence fisheries that support entire communities (8), nutrient cycling (9, 10), sediment stabilization (11), and globally significant sequestration of carbon (12). Seagrasses and the services they provide are threatened by the immediate impacts of coastal development and growing human populations as well as by the impacts of climate change and ecological degradation (11, 13). Seagrass losses also disrupt important linkages between seagrass meadows and other habitats (14), and their ongoing decline is likely producing much broader and long-lasting impacts than the loss of the meadows themselves.

Previous efforts to assess general trends in seagrass abundance have been based on a few case studies with limited quantitative data for the time periods studied (15–19) or on extrapolations from a few reported regional rates (11, 15, 19). These assessments vary in their conclusions, ranging from those asserting widespread and abrupt declines, as reported in several recent studies (16–18), to those reporting less dramatic declines on the order of 2–5% yr⁻¹ (19) and occasional increases at local scales (20, 21). To expand on these efforts, we synthesized quantitative data from 215 sites with a total of 1,128 observations around the world covering the time period 1879–2006, creating the most comprehensive data set compiled to date (Table S1). Our results extend previous findings in showing that seagrass areal cover is declining across the globe and that the rate of loss is accelerating.

Results

Our analysis of the change in areal extent of seagrass populations demonstrates that, since the earliest records in 1879, seagrass meadows have declined in all areas of the globe where quantitative data are available, including both high and low latitudes. Comparing all sites across their total study length, there were significantly more declines in seagrass meadows than predicted by chance: 58% of sites declined, 25% increased, and 17% exhibited no detectable change (Table 1; χ² = 5.9, P < 0.002, df = 2). Over the entire time period of our analysis, there was a mean decline in seagrass area of 1.5% yr⁻¹ (median = 0.9% yr⁻¹). Not only are the rates of loss high, but the total seagrass area lost is large. Overall, the measured area of seagrass loss was 3,370 km² between 1879 and 2006 (i.e., 27 km² yr⁻¹), representing 29% of the maximum area measured (11,592 km²). In addition, the difference in area lost among sites that declined was more than 10 times greater than that among sites that increased (Table 1). Bootstrap analysis supported the robustness of these results; subsampling recovered similar overall rates of change independent of subsample size (Fig. S1). Extrapolation to the global scale must be qualified by limited seagrass mapping efforts in turbid water systems and in some geographic regions that have received less attention from the scientific community. Thus, global estimates of total seagrass area remain poorly resolved; however, based on actual mapped areas and inferring additional unmapped area (19), the current estimate of the total area of seagrasses is ~177,000 km². Extrapolating our conser-
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Decadal trends in seagrass areal extent. Sites were categorized as declining (<90% of initial area), increasing (final area >110% of initial area), or having no detectable change (final area within ±10% of initial area).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Trajectory*</th>
<th>Median % rate of change, µ (N)</th>
<th>Proportion in category, %</th>
<th>Mean % rate of change, µ (±SE, N)</th>
<th>Net maximum measured area, km²</th>
<th>Net change in study areas, km² (% of maximum)</th>
<th>Mean study length, yr</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Declining</td>
<td>−3.7 (126)</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>−6.9 (±0.9, 116)</td>
<td>9,147</td>
<td>−3,662 (40)</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Increasing</td>
<td>5.4 (53)</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>11.8 (±3.6, 43)</td>
<td>879</td>
<td>314 (36)</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No detectable change</td>
<td>−0.06 (36)</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>−0.2 (±0.2, 36)</td>
<td>1,565</td>
<td>−19 (1)</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Overall</td>
<td>−0.9 (215)</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>−1.5 (±1.1, 196)</td>
<td>11,592</td>
<td>−3,367 (29)</td>
<td>22</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Rate of change expressed as µ, % yr⁻¹.

Evidence of causes of decline was available for 77 of 128 declining sites. Among these, 2 major causes of seagrass loss were indicated: (i) direct impacts from coastal development and dredging activities (21 sites) and (ii) indirect impacts from declining water quality (35 sites). Only 6 sites with decreases were classified as being caused by natural processes such as storm damage or biological disturbance. Of the 51 sites with increases, 29 had attributed causes, including 11 increases attributable to improved water quality and habitat remediation. Among the remaining increasing sites, recoveries from historical declines attributable to storm damage or episodes of wasting disease were the most common explanations.

**Discussion**

Our analysis included data from all 6 global seagrass bioregions (22), although sites were not distributed evenly. Europe, North America, and Australia were well represented (Fig. 2), reflecting monitoring efforts in these relatively affluent regions and their strong focus on coastal issues. Major gaps in information exist for West Africa, northeast South America, and the northwest Pacific area of the United States, where seagrasses are typically restricted in distribution. However, the largest data gap exists in the tropical Indo-Pacific region (from East Africa to Hawaii), where seagrasses are widespread and abundant. Seagrasses in this region perform vital ecosystem services for local human populations, support numerous elements of local economies (8), and are food for endangered species such as dugong and green turtle (22). Furthermore, this region has the highest number of seagrass species, including several endemic species (22). Given the rapid population growth and development pressures in the Indo-Pacific, there is a pressing need to acquire more data on seagrass extent in this important region to aid in evaluating the status of seagrasses.

Seagrass losses have been attributed to a broad spectrum of anthropogenic and natural causes (11). Because seagrass meadows are often dominated by a single seagrass species, they are susceptible to pandemic disease outbreaks like the “wasting disease” of the 1930s that killed as much as 90% of all eelgrass
(Zostera marina) in the North Atlantic Ocean (23) or stand diebacks that killed more than 4,000 ha of turtlegrass (Thalassia testudinum) in Florida Bay (24). Destructive fishing practices, boat propellers, coastal engineering, cyclones, and tsunamis also cause direct and immediate seagrass loss (3, 4, 11). More indirect and potentially more damaging are the impacts of water quality degradation resulting from increased nutrient additions and sediment runoff in human-altered watersheds. In addition, the indirect effects of aquaculture and invasive species have been observed to affect seagrasses (25, 26). Other indirect effects from overfishing have caused the loss of predators, which can cascade down the food web and lead to the loss of the herbivores that clean seagrasses of fouling algae, resulting in seagrass loss (16, 27, 28). Lastly, global climate change is predicted to have deleterious effects on seagrasses (29) and is emerging as a pressing challenge for coastal management.

Worldwide, seagrasses are experiencing all 5 of the most serious threats to marine biodiversity (30): overexploitation, physical modification, nutrient and sediment pollution, introduction of nonnative species, and global climate change. Seagrass declines have been attributed to all these threats, often in combination. Managing seagrass meadows requires an integrated approach (31), including efforts to avoid excessive nutrient and organic inputs from agricultural, aquaculture, and urban sources and to prevent sediment loading, which causes a deterioration in the submarine light climate so critical for seagrass growth. Best practices should also seek to avoid mechanical damage through anchors, propellers, and fishing gear. Responsible stewardship that promotes favorable growing conditions will confer seagrass meadows with resistance and resilience against pressures that cannot be managed locally, such as those associated with climate change.

Evidence of outcomes from improved management practices are emerging. For example, a concerted effort to reduce point sources of nutrients in Tampa Bay, Florida, over the past 2 decades has resulted in a 50% reduction in total nitrogen loads and an ~50% increase in water clarity, leading to the recovery of 27 km² of seagrasses since 1982 (32). Likewise, mitigation measures adopted in Mondego Bay, a highly eutrophic estuary in Portugal, reduced nitrogen loads and increased seagrass area from 0.02 km² (1997) to 1.6 km² (2002) by altering estuarine hydraulics and controlling seagrass habitat destruction by fishing practices (33). These system-wide management strategies are improvements on the attempts over past decades to restore seagrass through transplantation. Numerous transplant projects have been attempted worldwide as mitigation measures for seagrass losses (34). However, transplant projects have involved only a few seagrass species and at spatial scales that have failed to alter the trajectories of seagrass loss significantly (34). Science-based protection and management approaches supporting a combination of statutory authorities and consensus planning must be designed to diminish the cumulative effect of stressors and accommodate the broad range of impacts on seagrass meadows to protect them from further losses (35, 36).

Our report of mounting seagrass losses reveals a major global environmental crisis in coastal ecosystems, for which seagrasses are sentinels of change (11). Seagrasses are sensitive integrators of changes in water quality, sediment loading, and other inputs that accumulate as a result of human modification of watersheds and receiving coastal water bodies (37). Seagrass meadows signal the early stages of eutrophication because they give way to faster growing plant competitors like macroalgae and microalgae as water quality decreases (38). More importantly, in contrast to coral reefs, which also herald environmental change but occupy a relatively small portion of the world’s oceans, seagrasses are global in extent except for the highest polar regions.

The extent and rate of seagrass losses reported here have had significant ecological consequences. Losses of seagrass meadows will continue to reduce the energy subsidies they provide to other ecosystems such as adjacent coral reefs or distant areas such as deep-sea bottoms, diminishing the net secondary productivity of these habitats (14). Seagrass losses also threaten the future of
endangered species such as Chinook salmon (39) and the habitat for many other organisms. Seagrass losses decrease primary production, carbon sequestration, and nutrient cycling in the coastal zone (5). If the current rate of seagrass loss is sustained or continues to accelerate, the ecological losses will also increase, causing even greater ill-afforded economic losses.

Severe impacts to seagrass meadows have received limited public attention compared with changes to other coastal (11, 40) and terrestrial ecosystems, despite the fact that the overall mean rate of seagrass loss calculated here is similar to that of mangrove forests (1.8% yr$^{-1}$) (41) and even faster than that of tropical forests (0.5% yr$^{-1}$) (42). Reported changes in Indo-West Pacific (43) coral cover are lower, declining at 0.72% yr$^{-1}$ among reefs repeatedly monitored over the period 1997–2004. Mean decline rates reported in most coral reef studies (1 to 9% yr$^{-1}$) (12380/H20841) are based on changes in percent coral cover, as opposed to the actual areal extent of the coral reef ecosystem; however, rates of seagrass meadow and coral reef declines can be considered roughly equivalent, given that seagrass meadows are expected to have a concomitant decline in percent cover as total area declines (46). The cumulative effect of the reported losses in seagrass, mangrove, coral reef, and coastal wetland habitats signals a serious deterioration of coastal environments around the world.

Materials and Methods

We compiled a database that incorporated existing quantitative data on seagrass areal extent from published studies, reports, web sites, online databases, and unpublished but audited sources (see SI Data Sources). Sources were identified by conducting a Web of Science search in February 2006 and then again in October 2006 using the following search term: (seagrass* or SAV or submerged aquatic vegetation) and (loss* or change* or recovery or stability or dynamic* or impact* or map* or decline* or increase* or gain*). This search returned 2,346 references (from which we excluded reports referring to “freshwater species”). We also requested relevant data on the Seagrass Forum listserv in October 2006.

To ensure that reported changes in areal extent were not simply attributable to seasonal variation, we included only studies with at least 2 estimates of areal extent that covered more than 2 years. If the date of a study was not specific within a year, it was assigned to the midyear point (i.e., 1980.5). A known location for each study is referred to as the “site,” and each measurement of seagrass area at a site is referred to as an “event.” The trajectory of each study was determined as the overall percent rate of change, either positive (i.e., more seagrass area measured) or negative (i.e., less seagrass area measured), across the entire time period of each study and across each decade of the study. At each site, we classified seagrass meadows as declining or increasing if the areal extent changed by $>10\%$ or as no detectable change if the areal extent changed by $\leq10\%$ (which is typically within the error of assessment techniques (47)). Departure from even partitioning of meadow trajectories was calculated using a $\chi^2$ test. The final database comprises 215 sites with 1,128 events from 70 sources (Table S1). Several data verification steps were conducted, including independent checks of 63% of all site entries (136 sites).

We conducted 2 types of analyses: (i) trajectories were analyzed using the initial and final observations of seagrass area at each site to represent overall trends at sites irrespective of the time period, and (ii) trajectories were analyzed decade by decade to account for trends across decades (“decadal analysis”) (see SI Decadal Analysis; Fig. S3). Percentage rates of change (the trajectory, $\mu$, % yr$^{-1}$) for sites were calculated over time interval, $t$, from the initial to final reported areas ($A_i$ and $A_f$, respectively) as $\mu = [\ln(A_f/A_i)]/t \times 100$. In addition to the specific rate of change, the net change in area (final area minus initial area) was calculated for each site and for each decade that the study traversed. Trajectories and net change in reported area were calculated across the total time span of each data set and for each decade of the data set (see SI Decadal Analysis; Fig. S3). A test of the relative proportion of sites experiencing decreases as opposed to increases in each decade was conducted by comparing the departure from a 1:1 relationship between these increases and decreases using a $\chi^2$ test and a Wilcoxon signed rank test.

All records of seagrass area before 1930 were grouped for the decade analysis of limited sample size. The 215 sites assessing change in area of seagrass meadows were not distributed randomly because some regions of the world (the eastern coast of North America, Europe, and southern Australia) have been sampled more intensely than others, irrespective of regional seagrass abundance. In addition to this geographic bias in available data (i.e., developed regions of the world were unavoidably overrepresented), there was a temporal bias. More records were available after 1980 (23% of data were calculated and plotted with the 25th and 75th percentiles and maximum and minimum or plus and minus SEs to assess the central tendency for random subsets of samples taken from the total data set (Fig. S1). Because of the lag in reporting changes in measured seagrass areal extent (estimated at $>5$ years from final date included in the data), the data available for the current decade should be considered incomplete.

We conducted 2 types of analyses: (i) trajectories were analyzed using the initial and final observations of seagrass area at each site to represent overall trends at sites irrespective of the time period, and (ii) trajectories were analyzed decade by decade to account for trends across decades (“decadal analysis”) (see SI Decadal Analysis; Fig. S3). Percentage rates of change (the trajectory, $\mu$, % yr$^{-1}$) for sites were calculated over time interval, $t$, from the initial to final reported areas ($A_i$ and $A_f$, respectively) as $\mu = [\ln(A_f/A_i)]/t \times 100$. In addition to the specific rate of change, the net change in area (final area minus initial area) was calculated for each site and for each decade that the study traversed. Trajectories and net change in reported area were calculated across the total time span of each data set and for each decade of the data set (see SI Decadal Analysis; Fig. S3). A test of the relative proportion of sites experiencing decreases as opposed to increases in each decade was conducted by comparing the departure from a 1:1 relationship between these increases and decreases using a $\chi^2$ test and a Wilcoxon signed rank test.

All records of seagrass area before 1930 were grouped for the decade analysis of limited sample size. The 215 sites assessing change in area of seagrass meadows were not distributed randomly because some regions of the world (the eastern coast of North America, Europe, and southern Australia) have been sampled more intensely than others, irrespective of regional seagrass abundance. In addition to this geographic bias in available data (i.e., developed regions of the world were unavoidably overrepresented), there was a temporal bias. More records were available after 1980 (23% of data were calculated and plotted with the 25th and 75th percentiles and maximum and minimum or plus and minus SEs to assess the central tendency for random subsets of samples taken from the total data set (Fig. S1). Because of the lag in reporting changes in measured seagrass areal extent (estimated at $>5$ years from final date included in the data), the data available for the current decade should be considered incomplete.
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